

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/709,663	05/21/2004	Min-Hsun Hsieh	KYCP0003USA1	3662	
27765	7590 08/30/2004		EXAMINER		
NAIPO (NORTH AMERICA INTERNATIONAL PATENT OFFICE)			LE, THAO P		
P.O. BOX 50	=		ADTIBUT	PAPER NUMBER	
MERRIFIELI	O, VA 22116		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2818		
				DATE MAILED: 08/30/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		T 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Assistant Communication	10/709,663	HSIEH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	AN			
	Thao P. Le	2818	<u> </u>			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addre	9SS			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comr (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 5/21.	<u>/04</u> .					
	s action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	<u> </u>					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National St	age			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F	ate	52)			
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	aton Application (1 10-1				

DETAILED ACTION

Specification Objection

The specification is objected to because of the following:

. The title is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative

the invention in which claims are directed to.

On page 4, para 0009, "IS" in the sentence « An amorphous interface

layer of ITO IS formed » should be changed to --- is---

Claim Objections

Claim 3 is objected to because of the following informalities:.

In claim 3, "indium tin oxide" is repeated twice, if the second term of "indium tin oxide" is "antimony tin oxide" as indicated in claim 6, it is requested to amended it as indicated so or delete it.

Claim Rejections

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

Application/Control Number: 10/709,663 Page 3

Art Unit: 2818

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 4 are rejected under 35 USC 102 (e) as being anticipated by Yang et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,709,883.

Regarding claims 1 and 4, Yang et al. discloses a method for forming a light emitting diode having a transparent substrate comprising:

- . forming a semiconductor multilayer on a first substrate 26 producing a first multilayer structure (Fig. 1);
- forming an amorphous interface layer 14 on a second substrate 10, the second substrate being transparent in nature (lines 46-59, Col. 3), producing a second multilayer structure (Fig. 2);
- bonding the first multilayer structure to the second multilayer structure, producing a third multilayer structure (Fig. 3);
 - removing the first substrate 26 from the third multilayer structure (Fig. 3).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2, 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yang et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,709,883, in view of Huang et al., U.S. patent No. 6,693,352.

Regarding claims 2 and 5, Yang et al. discloses the method of claim 1 and further comprising a step of forming a contact layer on the third multilayer structure after removing the first substrate. However, Yang et al. fails to disclose the contact layer is a transparent conductive layer. Huang et al. discloses that the contact layer is a transparent conductive layer. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to choose transparent conductive layer as disclosed in Huang et al. in view of Yang et al. because transparent conductive layer provides low contact resistance and low sheet resistance for current spreading resulting in enhanced LED light output and

Art Unit: 2818

also provide high optical transparency such as to efficiently transmit light generated in the active region of the device (lines 30-60, Col. 2).

Claims 3,6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yang et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,709,883.

Regarding claims 3 and 6, Yang et al. discloses the method of claim 1 and further discloses wherein the amorphous interface layer is made of transparent adhesive agent such as resin or epoxy or any material with similar property which is applicable to the invention. However, Yang et al. fails to disclose the transparent adhesive is conductive. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to recognize that it is conventional to choose transparent conductive adhesive material because the transparent conductive adhesive material provides not only for adhesion to the first substrate but also provide conductivity with low resistance and low sheet resistance.

If Applicants are aware of better art than that which has been cited, they are required to call such to attention of the examiner.

When responding to the office action, Applicants' are advice to provide the examiner with the line numbers and page numbers in the application and/or references cited to assist the examiner to locate the appropriate paragraphs.

Art Unit: 2818

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 (three) months and 0 (zero) day from the day of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned (see M.P.E.P 710.02(b)).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thao P. Le whose telephone number is 571-272-1785. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T (7-6).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on 571-272-1787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thao P. Le Examiner Art Unit 2818